

From: Wall.Tom@epamail.epa.gov
Sent time: 12/05/2007 11:15:57 AM
To: Soscia.Marylou@epamail.epa.gov
brasier.francoise@epa.gov; Newman.Amy@epamail.epa.gov; Cope.Ben@epamail.epa.gov; Croxton.David@epamail.epa.gov;
Cc: Keehner.Denise@epamail.epa.gov; Carleton.Jim@epamail.epa.gov; Soscia.Marylou@epamail.epa.gov; Gearheard.Mike@epamail.epa.gov; Parkin.Richard@epamail.epa.gov; soscia.marylou@epa.gov
Subject: Re: Fw: TMDL Draft Meeting Summary
Attachments: pic21811.gif pic26621.gif pic04033.gif TMDL Sep07 DRAFT Meeting Summary COE comments 20Nov07.doc

Good catch re: item 3, Marylou. I remember us agreeing that EPA would engage the States, and then involve the Bureau and the Corps, in discussions re: how the States determine compliance, not feasibility.

▼ MaryLou Soscia/R10/USEPA/US

MaryLou Soscia/R10/USEPA/US
Sent by: Marylou Soscia

11/26/2007 07:46 PM

To
Mike Gearheard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

cc
Amy Newman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Ben Cope/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Croxton.David@epamail.epa.gov, Denise Keehner/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jim Carleton/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Parkin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, soscia.marylou@epa.gov, Tom Wall/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject

Re: Fw: TMDL Draft Meeting Summary 

Mike:

I gave Dave my comments but I will summarize my key comments here:

1. It is state and tribal water quality standards
2. I did explain to Dave about the history and work effort with the states and tribes on the TMDL implementation plan and relation to an "attainment plan." The language that they have is not totally accurate but I do not think it really matters for the purposes of this meeting summary.
3. In the following sentence:
This will include determining what operational and/or physical modifications to physical dam structures should be evaluated, and the criteria to be used by the states to determine feasibility.

I do not recall ever discussing "and the criteria to be used by the states to determine feasibility." The federal feasibility agreement we developed took almost a year to develop and we have never asked the states for a state criteria for feasibility. I think this would be a problem. We should strike that language.
4. I did mention to Dave the new nuance provided by the RPA in the proposed Bio-Op for better modeling of the Columbia River which may mean that we might have 2-D modeling throughout the river.

ML

Mary Lou Soscia
Columbia River Coordinator
US EPA - Region 10 - OWW
805 S.W. Broadway, Suite 500
Portland, OR 97205
www.epa.gov/region10/columbia
503.326.5873
fax: 503.326.3399

▼ Mike Gearheard/R10/USEPA/US

Mike Gearheard/R10/USEPA/US

To

11/21/2007 12:27 PM

soscia.marylou@epa.gov, Croxton.David@epamail.epa.gov, Richard Parkin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Ben Cope/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Denise Keehner/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Amy Newman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Wall/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jim Carleton/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

Subject

Fw: TMDL Draft Meeting Summary

Hi All,

Can you please take a quick look at this input from the Corps? I know it is a holiday time, but when you can, check it out. I was nervous about opening this email, since our history suggests that this process of agreeing on a meeting summary can be long and painful. But my first quick read through leads me to hope that we are actually pretty close. What do you think?

Mike Gearheard

Director, Office of Water and Watersheds

phone: (206) 553-7151

fax: (206) 553-0165

email: gearheard.mike@epa.gov

----- Forwarded by Mike Gearheard/R10/USEPA/US on 11/21/2007 12:18 PM -----

"Turner, Rudd A NWD"

<Rudd.A.Turner@usace.army.mil>

To

11/20/2007 03:53 PM

David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, "Shepp, David L HQ02" <David.L.Shepp@usace.army.mil>, "Ponganis, David J NWD" <David.J.Ponganis@usace.army.mil>, "Bryan Horsburgh" <bhorsburgh@pn.usbr.gov>, <tpersonius@usbr.gov>, "Schneider, Michael L NWP" <Michael.L.Schneider@usace.army.mil>, "Juul, Steve T NWW" <Steve.T.Juul@usace.army.mil>, "Duffe, Bruce J NWP" <Bruce.J.Duffe@usace.army.mil>

cc

Marylou Soscia/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Parkin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Ben Cope/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Mike Gearheard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Denise Keehner/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Wall/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Amy Newman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jim Carleton/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laurie Mann/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject

RE: TMDL Draft Meeting Summary

David:

Corps of Engineers comments on the draft summary are attached. Contact Dave Shepp or myself if you have questions.

Thank you for the opportunity to review EPA's summary.

Rudd Turner
USACE Northwestern Division
CENWD-PDD
503-808-3727

-----Original Message-----

From: Croxton.David@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Croxton.David@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, October 11, 2007 1:42 PM
To: Shepp, David L HQ02; Ponganis, David J NWD; Turner, Rudd A NWD; Bryan Horsburgh; tpersonius@usbr.gov; Schneider, Michael L NWP; Juul, Steve T NWW
Cc: Mary Lou Soscia; Parkin.Richard@epamail.epa.gov;
Cope.Ben@epamail.epa.gov; Gearheard.Mike@epamail.epa.gov;
Keehner.Denise@epamail.epa.gov; Wall.Tom@epamail.epa.gov;
Newman.Amy@epamail.epa.gov; Carleton.Jim@epamail.epa.gov;
Mann.Laurie@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: TMDL Draft Meeting Summary

Hi,

I am sending this draft summary of our meeting of 9/25-9/26/07 for your review on behalf of Mike Gearheard. Mike et al have tried to faithfully represent what was agreed upon at our meeting, though we certainly anticipate some back and forth refining of the summary. As a group we set a goal of producing a final meeting summary before the end of October, though given it has taken EPA awhile to provide this draft we will probably not finalize the summary until early November. As

you'll notice, this draft does not include the list of attendees, or the lists of the technical and policy committee members. We will create those for the final summary and ask that USACE and USBR confirm for us the names of their committee members.

Thanks all.

(See attached file: TMDL Meeting Summary.doc)

(See attached file: TMDL Sep07 DRAFT Meeting Summary COE comments 20Nov07.doc)